

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,533	04/28/2006	Thomas John Gonda	1386/21	8358
25297 7590 6862125908 1ENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER 3100 TOWER BLVD., DURHAM NC 27707 DURHAM NC 27707			EXAMINER	
			SISSON, BRADLEY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,533	GONDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bradley L. Sisson	1634	

The amendment document filed on 18 July 2008 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

item(s) is required.	o compliant, conscion of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other C. Other	ENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
□ 3. Amendments to the drawings: □ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "f □ Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has be showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance wit	en eliminated. Replacement drawings
□ 4. Amendments to the claims: □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. □ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims. □ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identif of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every or number by using one of the following status identifiers (Origin: ○ (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented. □ E. Other:	fier, and as such, the individual status laim must be indicated after its claim al), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	,
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	· ·
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is at filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	
 Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from to correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a prelimit (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction req non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 	nary amendment, a non-final amendment r 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental nd an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	compliant amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a pre amendment.	
/Bradley L. Sisson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit: 1634	

⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/550,533

Continuation of 5 Other: On 20 March 2008 a restriction was placed against claims 1-20, 28-32, 112-116, and 128-131. The claims were divided into eight different groups. On 18 July 2008 applicant filed a response whereby all pending claims were cancelled and new claims 132-146 were added. It is noted that none of the currently-pending claims are drawn to any of the originally-presented inventions. It is noted with particularity that applicant did not elect any of the inventions identified in Groups I through Group VIII and as such, the reply is deemed non-responsive.

If applicant desires to pursue an invention other than the eight originally presented in the instant application, such can be achieved through filing a divisional application. In the instant application, however, such an amendment needed to have been presented at any time during the roughly two years that transpired from the time of filing (06 April 2006) until the time of the restriction requirement (20 March 2008), including the time that applicant filed a preliminary amendment (22 September 2005). In the instant application, applicant had presented claims for examination and needs to elect one of the inventions identified AND amend the claims so that there is at least one claim drawn to the elected invention.